


Q1 How would you rate the quality of the conference content overall?


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Excellent	28.57% 4
Very good	50.00% 7
Good	21.43% 3
Fair	0.00% 0
Poor	0.00% 0
Total	14

Q2 How would you rate the usefulness of the conference content overall?

Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Extremely Useful	21.43%	3
Very useful	50.00%	7
Somewhat useful	28.57%	4
Not that useful	0.00%	0
Not useful at all	0.00%	0
Total		14

Q3 Please, share your suggestions on the overall content of the conference:

Answered: 10 Skipped: 4

#	Responses	Date
1	Content was very useful and complexed	7/20/2014 10:21 PM
2	It was very useful for my future work, meeting new colleagues and sharing experiences. In the future I think it would be better if you include more young journalists who can also learn and tell their problems in order to find solutions.	7/16/2014 6:04 PM
3	The fact that the conference was organized with no translation (only in English) put some limitation to the debate.	7/16/2014 4:36 PM
4	Holistic approach that has been applied throughout the project research, dictated the concept of the conference. Many very good presentations and fruitful discussions, but there were too many panelists in each panel... to much fo the one and half day conference.	7/16/2014 12:16 PM
5	-	7/15/2014 8:48 AM
6	Very interesting and useful for media professionals.	7/8/2014 1:00 PM
7	It was a logical framework following the content of the report made by local researchers. Means, the content was directed by report which was reason for the conference. Guest and participants was selected properly and cannot add anything more.	7/8/2014 12:41 PM
8	Excellent speakers, excellent study on the media integrity in the SEE region, fruitful discussions	7/8/2014 12:35 PM
9	Analysing the current situation of the media in the region, the conference introduced the clear concept of media integrity. To have such a concept, the conference assessed the factors that are posing the main risk to media integrity. It was in my view the main way for informing and influencing media reform processes.	7/8/2014 12:21 PM
10	N/A	7/8/2014 12:13 PM


Q4 Please, describe the most important insights you received through your participation in the conference:

Answered: 9 Skipped: 5

#	Responses	Date
1	Research results and investigative stories results which were subgranted by the project	7/20/2014 10:21 PM
2	Sharing the experiences and making a base for common solutions	7/16/2014 6:04 PM
3	The opportunity to get an overall picture of media situation in the region. Unfortunately solution is still missing.	7/16/2014 12:16 PM
4	-	7/15/2014 8:48 AM
5	Information's about the same issues in Western Balkan countries.	7/8/2014 1:00 PM
6	It,s always good to meet important media people from the region even you know many of them from decades. That position to talk as much as possible and to listen some of their ideas is crucial.	7/8/2014 12:41 PM
7	-Precise detection of issues that hinder media integrity in the whole region -many similar problems can be addressed with an unified approach, but a considerable number of issues need a country specific approach, taking into account tradition and culture, specific political and economic environment, legal framework -key obstacles for media integrity are politicians, media owners and editors which act as their proxies. Journalists are a part of the problem, but usually not the creators but the victims of the fall of media freedoms and independence.	7/8/2014 12:35 PM
8	As the first responder, reportes and media are to wage the war for professional journalism in the region. But they can not win it without a strong international backup, in particular the one from Brussels. Media in the Balkans need a regional instrument for media research and monitoring as well as a regional framework for debates and coalitions towards key political actors.	7/8/2014 12:21 PM
9	The book published by organizer	7/8/2014 12:13 PM

**Q5 How would you rate Panel Session 1:
Media policy development and
implementation: who rules and why
legislation doesn't work?**


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/a	Total
Content	7.14% 1	57.14% 8	35.71% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14
Moderation	0.00% 0	63.64% 7	36.36% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11
Discussion	0.00% 0	50.00% 5	40.00% 4	10.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	10

Q6 How would you rate Panel Session 2: Media ownership – main patterns and risks for media integrity


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/a	Total
Content	42.86% 6	28.57% 4	28.57% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14
Moderation	18.18% 2	54.55% 6	27.27% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11
Discussion	27.27% 3	45.45% 5	18.18% 2	9.09% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11

Q7 How would you rate Panel Session 3: Media finances – is there a media market at all? To blame the industry or the state?


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/a	Total
Content	35.71% 5	28.57% 4	35.71% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14
Moderation	9.09% 1	63.64% 7	27.27% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11
Discussion	36.36% 4	36.36% 4	18.18% 2	9.09% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11

**Q8 How would you rate Panel Session 4:
Public service broadcasting: governing
and financing patterns - way of control and
way of solution?**


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/a	Total
Content	28.57% 4	35.71% 5	28.57% 4	7.14% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14
Moderation	9.09% 1	63.64% 7	18.18% 2	9.09% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11
Discussion	9.09% 1	63.64% 7	18.18% 2	9.09% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11

Q9 How would you rate Panel Session 5: Journalists - Victims or constituents of structures and relations which obstruct democratic role of the media?


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/a	Total
Content	42.86% 6	28.57% 4	28.57% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14
Moderation	18.18% 2	36.36% 4	45.45% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11
Discussion	36.36% 4	27.27% 3	36.36% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11

**Q10 How would you rate Panel Session 6:
External view and context: are media integrity problems a regional or wider problem?**


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/a	Total
Content	50.00% 7	28.57% 4	21.43% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14
Moderation	27.27% 3	45.45% 5	27.27% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11
Discussion	27.27% 3	36.36% 4	27.27% 3	9.09% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11

Q11 How would you rate the Closing session: Prospects for media integrity in SEE: Positive cases and Recommendations

Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/a	Total
Content	21.43% 3	50.00% 7	28.57% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14
Moderation	18.18% 2	45.45% 5	36.36% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11
Discussion	27.27% 3	18.18% 2	36.36% 4	18.18% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	11


Q12 Please share your suggestions on the overall content and moderation of the panel sessions:

Answered: 8 Skipped: 6

#	Responses	Date
1	/	7/20/2014 10:23 PM
2	Very good moderation.	7/16/2014 12:20 PM
3	/	7/15/2014 8:49 AM
4	Most of them were very good and professional.	7/8/2014 1:02 PM
5	There is no some radical suggestions. Plan was logical, moderators good and we were faced with very experienced people both in front and around table.	7/8/2014 12:45 PM
6	Introductory presentations by panelists could have been shorter, which is difficult when you have participants whose profession is connected with writing and speaking.	7/8/2014 12:39 PM
7	Moderators should not be focused only on the chairing and managing technically panels. They need to round off the discussion at the end with what was the most valuable in it.	7/8/2014 12:29 PM
8	N/A	7/8/2014 12:14 PM

Q13 Do you think you have made connections relevant for your work?


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes, I have	92.86% 13
No, I have not	0.00% 0
I cannot say	7.14% 1
Total	14

Q14 Have you met professionally interesting counterparts at this event?

Answered: 14 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes, I have	100.00% 14
No, I have not	0.00% 0
Total	14

#	Please, elaborate on your answer, if you wish:	Date
1	/	7/20/2014 10:24 PM
2	-	7/15/2014 8:49 AM
3	It was a very good oportunity to establish links with my counterparts in the region. It would be very halpful for further debates and consultations.	7/8/2014 2:21 PM
4	I said that I met which is true but to be honest I,m working with almost all of them since 1995. Twenty years. And they are still in business which is proof of stability and relevancy in the region and beyond.	7/8/2014 12:49 PM
5	N/A	7/8/2014 12:15 PM

Q15 Has participation in this event helped you initiate new collaborations and/or partnerships?

Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes, it has	85.71% 12
No, it has not	14.29% 2
Total	14

#	Please, elaborate on your answer if you wish:	Date
1	/	7/20/2014 10:24 PM
2	-	7/15/2014 8:49 AM
3	Ideas for new efforts with old partners	7/8/2014 12:41 PM
4	N/a	7/8/2014 12:15 PM


Q16 Please, let us know which information/messages/insights from this conference you consider to be the most useful for your future work:

Answered: 7 Skipped: 7

#	Responses	Date
1	/	7/20/2014 10:24 PM
2	-	7/15/2014 8:49 AM
3	Reporters have little power to impose the establishment of a functional media market and the development of free and pluralistic media. By definition, their major ally is (a weak) civil society. That alliance should be reinforced in order to allow space for the development of media as an institution of democracy.	7/8/2014 2:21 PM
4	Information's about the medias in Western Balkans in general, with problems, marketing, etc.	7/8/2014 1:05 PM
5	All topics was OK. External view was slightly different because we listened people who are not direct in the matter and these foreign views always fresh.	7/8/2014 12:49 PM
6	The book and the study, and almost all discussions were relevant and useful for our future work	7/8/2014 12:41 PM
7	N/A	7/8/2014 12:15 PM

Q17 What is your overall impression of the event?


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Excellent	21.43% 3
Very good	64.29% 9
Good	14.29% 2
Fair	0.00% 0
Poor	0.00% 0
Total	14

Q18 How would you rate conference logistics (communication, travel organisation, transfers)?


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Excellent	64.29%	9
Very good	28.57%	4
Good	7.14%	1
Fair	0.00%	0
Poor	0.00%	0
Total		14

Q19 How would you rate quality of information received prior to the conference?


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Excellent	71.43%	10
Very good	21.43%	3
Good	7.14%	1
Fair	0.00%	0
Poor	0.00%	0
Total		14

Q20 How would you rate the accommodation and food?


Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Excellent	64.29%	9
Very good	35.71%	5
Good	0.00%	0
Fair	0.00%	0
Poor	0.00%	0
Total		14

Q21 How would you rate information and promotion materials?

Answered: 14 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Excellent	50.00%	7
Very good	50.00%	7
Good	0.00%	0
Fair	0.00%	0
Poor	0.00%	0
Total		14

Q22 Please, explain your choices and add any other comments concerning Conference organisation:

Answered: 7 Skipped: 7

#	Responses	Date
1	/	7/20/2014 10:25 PM
2	There was no enough copies of the book	7/16/2014 4:39 PM
3	-	7/15/2014 8:49 AM
4	Elaboration of the situation on the media scene in the region at the conference was remarkable. It created the legacy that should build on.	7/8/2014 2:29 PM
5	Everything was perfect, in time, no excuses.	7/8/2014 1:06 PM
6	Organization was very good. All info was on time, people at the conference kind and useful. Have no any complaints.	7/8/2014 12:54 PM
7	Internet connection was limited.	7/8/2014 12:42 PM

**Q23 If you wish, give us your suggestions
for the future organisation of similar
events:**

Answered: 6 Skipped: 8

#	Responses	Date
1	/	7/20/2014 10:25 PM
2	To include younger colleagues	7/16/2014 6:06 PM
3	Please ensure to have translation on at least one or two regional languages.	7/16/2014 4:39 PM
4	-	7/15/2014 8:49 AM
5	More opportunities should be offered to reporters and investigative journalists as they could offer a unique experience on factors that have been identified as posing risk to media mission.	7/8/2014 2:29 PM
6	Next time for the best participant (involvement in discussion, ideas, etc) prepare a small gift. Let say: Notebook	7/8/2014 12:54 PM